

Die 3-D-Diagnostik in der Endodontie

Dr. Jonathan Fleiner/Weil am Rhein

Endodontie Journal 4/2010

Literaturliste

1. Robb RA, Sinak LJ, Hoffman EA, Kinsey JH, Harris LD, Ritman EL. Dynamic volume imaging of moving organs. *J Med Syst* 1982;6:539 –54.
2. Danforth RA, Dus I, Mah J. 3-D volume imaging for dentistry: a new dimension. *J Calif Dent Assoc* 2003;31:817–23.
3. Winter AA, Pollack AS, Frommer HH, Koenig L. Cone beam volumetric tomography vs medical CT scanners. *N Y State Dent J* 2005;71:28 –33.
4. Scarfe WC. Imaging of maxillofacial trauma: evolutions and emerging revolutions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005;100:S75–96.
5. Siewerdsen JH, Jaffray DA. Optimization of x-ray imaging geometry (with specific application to flat-panel cone-beam computed tomography). *Med Phys* 2000;27:1903–14.
6. Baba R, Ueda K, Okabe M. Using a flat-panel detector in high resolution cone beam CT for dental imaging. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2004;33:285–90.
7. Baba R, Konno Y, Ueda K, Ikeda S. Comparison of flat-panel detector and image intensifier detector for cone-beam CT. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 2002;26:153– 8.
8. Lofthag-Hansen S, Huumonen S, Grondahl K, Grondahl HG. Limited cone-beam CT and intraoral radiography for the diagnosis of periapical pathology. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007;103:114 –9.
9. Valentin J. The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. Publication 103. *Ann ICRP*; 37:1–332, (2007).
10. Lofthag-Hansen S, Thilander-Klang A, Ekestubbe A, Helmrot E, Groendahl K. Calculating effective dose on a cone beam computed tomography device: 3D Accuitomo and 3D Accuitomo FPD. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*; 37:72–79, (2008).
11. Loubele M, Bogaerts R, Van Dijck E, Pauwels R, Vanheusden S, Suetens P et al. Comparison between effective radiation dose of CBCT and MSCT scanners for dentomaxillofacial applications. *Eur J Radiol: Epub ahead of print*, (16. July 2008).
12. Ludlow JB, Ivanovic M. Comparative dosimetry of dental CBCT devices and 64-slice CT for oral and maxillofacial radiology. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*; 106:930–938, (2008).
13. Visser H, Hermann KP, Bredemeier S, Köhler B. Dosismessungen zum Vergleich von konventionellen und digitalen Panoramaschichtaufnahmen. *Mund Kiefer Gesichtschir*; 4:213–216, (2000).

14. Woertche R, Hassfeld S, Lux CJ, Muessig E, Hensley FW, Krempien R et al. Clinical application of cone beam digital volume tomography in children with cleft lip and palate. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*; 35:88–94, (2006).
15. Gibbs SJ. Effective dose equivalent and effective dose: comparison for common projections in oral and maxillofacial radiology. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000;90:538–45.
16. Horner K, Rusthon V, Walker A, Tsiklakis K, Hirschmann PN, van der Stelt, P. F. et al. European guidelines on radiation protection in dental radiology. The safe use of radiographs in dental practice: European Commission; 2004.
17. Yajima A, Otonari-Yamamoto M, Sano T, et al. Cone-beam CT (CBCT) applied to dentomaxillofacial region. *Bull Tokyo Dent Coll* 2006;47:133–41.
18. Rigolone M, Pasqualini D, Bianchi L, Berutti E, Bianchi SD. Vestibular surgical access to the palatine root of the superior first molar: “low-dose cone-beam” CT analysis of the pathway and its anatomic variations. *J Endod* 2003;29:773–5.
19. Tsurumachi T, Honda K. A new cone beam computerized tomography system for use in endodontic surgery. *Int Endod J* 2007;40:224–32.
20. Lascaia CA, Panella J, Marques MM. Analysis of the accuracy of linear measurements obtained by cone beam computed tomography (CBCT-NewTom). *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2004;33:291–4.
21. Pinsky HM, Dyda S, Pinsky RW, Misch KA, Sarment DP. Accuracy of three-dimensional measurements using cone-beam CT. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2006;35:410–6.
22. Ludlow JB, Laster WS, See M, Bailey LJ, Hershey HG. Accuracy of measurements of mandibular anatomy in cone beam computed tomography images. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007;103:534–42.
23. Hashimoto K, Kawashima S, Araki M, Iwai K, Sawada K, Akiyama Y. Comparison of image performance between cone-beam computed tomography for dental use and four-row multidetector helical CT. *J Oral Sci* 2006;48:27–34.
24. Sogur E, Baksi BG, Grondahl HG. Imaging of root canal fillings: a comparison of subjective image quality between limited cone-beam CT, storage phosphor and film radiography. *Int Endod J* 2007;40:179–85.
25. Bundesregierung BRD. Verordnung zur Änderung der Röntgenverordnung und anderer atomrechtlicher Verordnungen: Röntgenverordnung; 2002.
26. Zhang Y, Zhang L, Zhu XR, Lee AK, Chambers M, Dong L. Reducing metal artifacts in cone-beam CT images by preprocessing projection data. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*; 67:924–932, (2007).
27. Holberg C, Steinhäuser S, Geis P, Rudzki-Janson I. Cone-beam computed tomography in orthodontics: benefits and limitations. *J Orofac Orthop*; 66:434–444, (2005).