

Literaturverzeichnis

Röntgen in der KFO – analog, digital und 3D (3)

Prof. Dr. Axel Bumann, Dr. Christine Hauser, ZÄ Margarita Nitka, ZA Vincent Richter sowie Dr. Kerstin Wiemer

1. Mattila K. Panorama-Röntgenverfahren-Rückblick und Ausblick. Hüthig-Verlag, Heidelberg 1984.
2. Scheutzel P. Entwicklung der zahnärztlichen Panoramaröntgenaufnahmeverfahren. Zahnärztl Mitt 1989;79:2322.
3. Paatero Y. A new tomographical method for radiographing curved outer surfaces. Acta radiol 1949;32:177.
4. Mouyen F, Benz C, Sonnabend E, Lodter JP. Presentation and physical evaluation of RadioVisioGraphy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1989;68:238-242.
5. Bell GW, Rodgers JM, Grime RJ, Edwards KL, Hahn MR, Dorman ML et al. The accuracy of dental panoramic tomographs in determining the root morphology of mandibular third molar teeth before surgery. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2003;95:119-125.
6. Honey O, Scarfe W, Hilgers M, Klueber K, Silveira A, Haskell B et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography imaging of the temporomandibular joint: comparisons with panoramic radiology and linear tomography. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007;132:429-438.
7. Armstrong C, Johnston C, Burden D, Stevenson M. Localizing ectopic maxillary canines--horizontal or vertical parallax? Eur J Orthod 2003;25:585-589.
8. McKee IW, Glover KE, Williamson PC, Lam EW, Heo G, Major PW. The effect of vertical and horizontal head positioning in panoramic radiography on mesiodistal tooth angulations. Angle Orthod 2001;71:442-451.
9. McKee IW, Williamson PC, Lam EW, Heo G, Glover KE, Major PW. The accuracy of 4 panoramic units in the projection of mesiodistal tooth angulations. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2002;121:166-175; quiz 192.
10. Peck J, Sameshima G, Miller A, Worth P, Hatcher D. Mesiodistal root angulation using panoramic and cone beam CT. Angle Orthod;77:206-213.
11. Low KM, Dula K, Burgin W, von Arx T. Comparison of periapical radiography and limited cone-beam tomography in posterior maxillary teeth referred for apical surgery. J Endod 2008;34:557-562.
12. Matherne RP, Angelopoulos C, Kulild JC, Tira D. Use of cone-beam computed tomography to identify root canal systems in vitro. J Endod 2008;34:87-89.
13. Peck JL, Sameshima GT, Miller A, Worth P, Hatcher DC. Mesiodistal root angulation using panoramic and cone beam CT. Angle Orthod 2007;77:206-213.
14. Simon P. Grundzüge der systematischen Diagnostik der Gebißenomalien. Berlin: Meusser; 1922.
15. Rakosi T. Bedeutung der angulären und linearen Messungen in der dento-skelettalen Analyse. München, Wien: Carl Hanser Verlag; 1988.
16. Broadbent B. A new x-ray technique and its application to orthodontia. Angle Orthod 1931;1:45-66.
17. Hofrath H. Die Bedeutung der Röntgenfern- und Abstandsaufnahme für die Diagnostik der Kieferanomalien. J Orofac Orthop 1931;1:232-258.

18. Drescher D. Fernröntgenanalyse. In: Diedrich P, editor. Praxis der Zahnheilkunde, Band 11/I, Kieferorthopädie I: Urban & Fischer München; 2000. p. 263-291.
19. Korkhaus G. Die Bedeutung des Fernröntgenbildes für die kieferorthopädische Praxis. J Orofac Orthop 1959;20:1-21.
20. Downs W. Variations in facial relationships: Their significance in treatment and prognosis* 1. Am J Orthod 1948;34:812-840.
21. Steiner C. Cephalometrics for you and me* 1. Am J Orthod 1953;39:729-755.
22. Tweed C. The Frankfort-Mandibular Incisor Angle (FMIA) In Orthodontic Diagnosis, Treatment Planning and Prognosis*. Angle Orthod 1954;24:121-169.
23. Sassouni V. A roentgenographic cephalometric analysis of cephalo-facio-dental relationships* 1. Am J Orthod 1955;41:735-764.
24. Ricketts R. Cephalometric analysis and synthesis. Angle Orthod 1961;31:141-156.
25. Jarabak J, Fizzell J. Technique and treatment with light-wire edgewise appliances.(ed. 2), Mosby, St: Louis; 1972.
26. Hasund A. The Bergen-Technique: A clinical manual for a light-wire edgewise technique 1972.
27. Hounsfield GN. Computerized transverse axial scanning (tomography). 1. Description of system. Br J Radiol 1973;46:1016-1022.
28. Hounsfield GN. Picture quality of computed tomography. Am J Roentgenol 1976;127:3-9.
29. Huels A, Schulte W, Walter E. Konventionelle Röntgendiagnostik und Computertomographie der Kiefergelenke bei Myoarthropathien. Radiologe 1984;24:360-368.
30. Hirschfelder U. Dreidimensionale computertomographische Analyse von Kiefer-, Gesichts- und Schädelanomalien. München-Wien: Hanser 1991.
31. Kärcher H, Kopp W. Dreidimensionale CT- und Modellplanung kraniofazialer Operationen. Z Stomatol 1991;88:183-204.
32. Lambrecht J, Brix F. Individual skull model fabrication for craniofacial surgery. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 1990;27:382-386.
33. Fuhrmann R, Schnappauf A, Diedrich P. Three-dimensional imaging of craniomaxillofacial structures with a standard personal computer. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 1995;24:260.
34. Mozzo P, Procacci C, Tacconi A, Tinazzi Martini P, Bergamo Andreis I. A new volumetric CT machine for dental imaging based on the cone-beam technique: preliminary results. Eur Radiol 1998;8:1558-1564.
35. Arai Y, Tammisalo E, Iwai K, Hashimoto K, Shinoda K. Development of a compact computed tomographic apparatus for dental use. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 1999;28:245-248.
36. Terakado M, Hashimoto K, Arai Y, Honda M, Sekiwa T, Sato H. Diagnostic imaging with newly developed ortho cubic super-high resolution computed tomography (Ortho-CT). Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2000;89:509-518.
37. Hechler S. Cone-beam CT: applications in orthodontics. Dental Clinics of North America 2008;52:809-823.
38. Adams GL, Gansky SA, Miller AJ, Harrell WE, Jr., Hatcher DC. Comparison between traditional 2-dimensional cephalometry and a 3-dimensional approach on human dry skulls. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2004;126:397-409.
39. Muramatsu A, Nawa H, Kimura M, Yoshida K, Maeda M, Katsumata A et al. Reproducibility of maxillofacial anatomic landmarks on 3-dimensional computed tomographic images determined with the 95% confidence ellipse method. Angle Orthod 2008;78:396-402.
40. Periago D, Scarfe W, Moshiri M, Scheetz J, Silveira A, Farman A. Linear accuracy and reliability of cone beam CT derived 3-dimensional images constructed using an orthodontic volumetric rendering program. Angle Orthod 2008;78:387-395.

41. Stratemann SA, Huang JC, Maki K, Miller AJ, Hatcher DC. Comparison of cone beam computed tomography imaging with physical measures. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2008;37:80-93.
42. Cattaneo PM, Bloch CB, Calmar D, Hjortshoj M, Melsen B. Comparison between conventional and cone-beam computed tomography-generated cephalograms. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2008;134:798-802.
43. Cattaneo PM, Melsen B. The use of cone-beam computed tomography in an orthodontic department in between research and daily clinic. *World J Orthod* 2008;9:269-282.
44. Berco M, Rigali P, Miner R, DeLuca S, Anderson N, Will L. Accuracy and reliability of linear cephalometric measurements from cone-beam computed tomography scans of a dry human skull. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009;136:17-17.
45. Brown AA, Scarfe WC, Scheetz JP, Silveira AM, Farman AG. Linear accuracy of cone beam CT derived 3D images. *Angle Orthod* 2009;79:150-157.
46. Moreira CR, Sales MA, Lopes PM, Cavalcanti MG. Assessment of linear and angular measurements on three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomographic images. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009;108:430-436.
47. Lagravère M, Carey J, Toogood R, Major P. Three-dimensional accuracy of measurements made with software on cone-beam computed tomography images. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2008;134:112-116.
48. Ludlow J, Gubler M, Cevidanes L, Mol A. Precision of cephalometric landmark identification: Cone-beam computed tomography vs conventional cephalometric views. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009;136:312-312.
49. de Paula-Silva FW, Wu MK, Leonardo MR, da Silva LA, Wesselink PR. Accuracy of periapical radiography and cone-beam computed tomography scans in diagnosing apical periodontitis using histopathological findings as a gold standard. *J Endod* 2009;35:1009-1012.
50. Lund H, Grondahl K, Grondahl HG. Cone Beam Computed Tomography for Assessment of Root Length and Marginal Bone Level during Orthodontic Treatment. *Angle Orthod* 2010;80:466-473.
51. Alqerban A, Jacobs R, Souza PC, Willems G. In-vitro comparison of 2 cone-beam computed tomography systems and panoramic imaging for detecting simulated canine impaction-induced external root resorption in maxillary lateral incisors. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009;136:764 e761-711; discussion 764-765.
52. Kau CH, Littlefield J, Rainy N, Nguyen JT, Creed B. Evaluation of CBCT Digital Models and Traditional Models Using the Little's Index. *Angle Orthod* 2010;80:435-439.
53. van Vlijmen OJ, Berge SJ, Bronkhorst EM, Swennen GR, Katsaros C, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. A comparison of frontal radiographs obtained from cone beam CT scans and conventional frontal radiographs of human skulls. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;38:773-778.
54. van Vlijmen OJ, Berge SJ, Swennen GR, Bronkhorst EM, Katsaros C, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. Comparison of cephalometric radiographs obtained from cone-beam computed tomography scans and conventional radiographs. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;67:92-97.

