

Ausgabe: **Cosmetic Dentistry**

Thema: Diastemaschluss und Zahnformkorrektur mittels adhäsiv befestigter Keramikveneers

Autoren: Dr. Navid Jalilvand, M.Sc., Dr. Sahar Hajilou, M.Sc.

Literatur

1. Meijering AC, Roeters FJ, Mulder J, Creugers NH: Recognition of veneer restorations by dentists and beautician students. *J Oral Rehabil* 1997b; 24: 506–511.
2. Beier US, Kapferer I, Burtscher D, Dumfahrt H. Clinical performance of porcelain laminate veneers for up to 20 years *Int J Prosthodont* 2012; Jan-Feb 25(1): 79-85.
3. Edelhoff D, Sorensen JA. Tooth structure removal associated with various preparation designs for anterior teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 2002; 87: 503–509.
4. Mangani F, Cerutti A, Putignano A, Bollero R, Madini L. Clinical approach to anterior adhesive restorations using resin composite veneers. *Eur J Esthet Dent* 2007; 2: 188–209.
5. Javaheri D. Considerations for planning esthetic treatment with veneers involving no or minimal preparation. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007; 138: 331–337.
6. Künzelmann KH, Kern M. Non Prep oder Prep Veneer? Möglichkeiten und Grenzen zweier Konzepte *Quintessenz Zahntech* 2010; 36 (3): 292-300.
7. Calamia JR, Calamia CS. Porcelain laminate veneers: reasons for 25 years of success. *Dent Clin North Am* 2007; Apr 51(2): 399-417, IX.
8. Fradeani M, Redemagni M, Corrado M. Porcelain laminate veneers: 6- to 12-year clinical evaluation – a retrospective study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2005; Feb. 25(1): 9-17.
9. Friedman MJ. A 15-year review of porcelain veneer failure – a clinician's observations. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 1998; Jun. 19(6): 625-8, 630, 632.
10. Layton D, Walton T. An up to 16-year prospective study of 304 porcelain veneers. *Int J Prosthodont* 2007; Jul. 20(4): 389-96.
11. Peumans M, De MJ, Fieuws S, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G, Van MB. A prospective ten-year clinical trial of porcelain veneers. *J Adhes Dent* 2004, 6(1): 65-76.
12. Swift EJ Jr, Friedman MJ. Critical appraisal: Porcelain veneer outcomes, part I. *J Esthet Restor Dent* 2006; 18(1): 54-7.
13. Swift EJ Jr, Friedman MJ. Critical appraisal: porcelain veneer outcomes, part II. *J Esthet Restor Dent* 2006; 18(2): 110-3.
14. Della BA, Kelly JR. The clinical success of allceramic restorations. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2008; 139 Suppl: 8S–13S.
15. Land MF, Hopp CD. Survival rates of all-ceramic systems differ by clinical indication and fabrication method. *J Evid Based Dent Pract* 2010; 10: 37–38.

16. Peumans M, Van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G. Porcelain veneers: a review of the literature. *J Dent* 2000; 28: 163-177.
17. Hajto J. Frontzahnrestaurationen: Sind Keramikveneers die beste Wahl? *Quintessenz* 2010; 61 (5): 521-528.
18. Gürel G. Porcelain laminate veneers: minimal tooth preparation by design *Dent Clin North Am* 2007; Apr 51 (2): 419-31.
19. Macedo G, Raj V, Ritter AV. Longevity of anterior composite restorations *J Esthet Restor Dent* 2006; 18(6): 310-1.
20. Wirsching E. Aktuelle Möglichkeiten der adhäsiven Restauration von Frontzähnen mit Komposit. *Quintessenz* 2014; 65(9): 1067-1075.
21. Ergucu Z, Turkun LS, Aladag A. Color stability of nanocomposites polished with one-step systems. *Oper Dent* 2008, Jul. 33(4): 413-20.
22. Peumans M, Van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G. The 5- year clinical performance of direct composite additions to correct tooth form and position. I. Esthetic qualities. *Clin Oral Investig* 1997; Feb. 1(1): 12-8.
23. Davis LG, Ashworth PD, Spriggs LS. Psychological effects of aesthetic dental treatment. *J Dent* 1998; 26: 547–554.
24. Meijering AC, Roeters FJ, Mulder J, Creugers NH. Patients' satisfaction with different types of veneer restorations. *J Dent* 1997a; 25: 493–497.
25. Lin CP, Douglas WH, Erlandsen SI. Scanning electron microscopy of type I collagen at the dentin-enamel junction of human teeth; *J Histochem Cytochem* 1993; 41: 381-388.
26. Magne P, Douglas WH, Porcelain veneers: Dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown *Int J Prosthodont* 1999; 12: 111-121.
27. Dumfahrt H, Schäffer H. Porcelain laminate veneers. A retrospective evaluation after 1 to 10 years of service: Part II – Clinical results. *Int J Prosthodont* 2000; 13: 9–18.
28. Christensen GJ. Facing the challenges of ceramic veneers. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2006; 137: 661–664.