REFERENCES

PEEK-based restoration for monotype zirconia implants

Dr Saurabh Gupta, India & Dr Sammy Noumbissi, USA

ceramic implants – international magazine of ceramic implant technology, 2/18

1. Branemark, P-I. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience from a 10-year period. Scand. J. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 1977. Suppl. 16.
2. Özkurt, Zeynep, and Ender Kazazoğlu. Zirconia dental implants: a literature review. J of Oral implantology 2011 37.3: 367-376.
3. Cionca, Norbert, Dena Hashim, and Andrea Mombelli. Zirconia dental implants: where are we now, and where are we heading? Periodontology 2000. 2017 73.1: 241-258.
4. Rahmitasari F, Ishida Y, Kurahashi K, Matsuda T, Watanabe M, Ichikawa T. PEEK with Reinforced Materials and Modifications for Dental Implant Applications. Dent J (Basel). 2017 Dec; 5(4): 35.
5. Najeeb S, Zafar MS, Khurshid Z, Siddiqui F. Applications of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) in oral implantology and prosthodontics. J Prosthodont Res. 2016 Jan;60(1):12-9.
6. Albrektsson T, Dahl E, Enbom L, Engevall S, Engquist B, Eriksson AR, Feldmann G, Freiberg N, Glantz PO, Kjellman O, et al. Osseointegrated oral implants. A Swedish multicenter study of 8139 consecutively inserted Nobelpharma implants. J Periodontol. 1988 May;59(5):287-96.
7. Sedarat C, Harmand MF, Naji A, Nowzari H. In vitro kinetic evaluation of titanium alloy biodegradation. J periodontal res. 2001;36:269–74.
8. Wenz HJ, Bartsch J, Wolfart S, Kern M. Osseointegration and clinical success of zirconia dental implants: a systematic review. Int j prosthodont. 2008;21:27–36.
9. Andreiotelli M, Wenz HJ, Kohal R-J. Are ceramic implants a viable alternative to titanium implants? A systematic literature review. Clin oral implants res. 2009;20 Suppl 4:32–47.
10. Stadlinger B, Hennig M, Eckelt U, Kuhlisch E, Mai R. Comparison of zirconia and titanium implants after a short healing period. A pilot study in minipigs. Int j oral maxillofac surg. 2010;39:585–92.
11. Gahlert M, Burtscher D, Pfundstein G, Grunert I, Kniha H, Roehling S. Dental zirconia implants up to 3 years in function: a retrospective clinical study and evaluation of prosthetic restorations and failures. Int j oral maxillofac implants. 2013;28:896–904.
12. Gahlert M, Kniha H, Weingart D, Schild S, Gellrich N-C, Bormann K-H. A prospective clinical study to evaluate the performance of zirconium dioxide dental implants in single-tooth gaps. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2016 Dec;27(12):e176-e184.
13. Gahlert M, Roehling S, Sprecher CM, Kniha H, Milz S, Bormann K. In vivo performance of zirconia and titanium implants: a histomorphometric study in mini pig maxillae. Clin oral implants res. 2012;23:281–6.
14. Rezaei NM, Hasegawa M, Ishijima M, Nakhaei K, Okubo T, Taniyama T, Ghassemi A, Tahsili T, Park W, Hirota M, Ogawa T. Biological and osseointegration capabilities of hierarchically (meso-/micro-/nano-scale) roughened zirconia. Int J Nanomedicine. 2018 Jun 8;13:3381-3395.

15. Bormann K-H, Gellrich N-C, Kniha H, Dard M, Wieland M, Gahlert M. Biomechanical evaluation of a microstructured zirconia implant by a removal torque comparison with a standard Ti-SLA implant. Clin oral implants res. 2012;23:1210–6.
16. Oliva J, Oliva X, Oliva JD. One-year follow-up of first consecutive 100 zirconia dental implants in humans: a comparison of 2 different rough surfaces. Int j oral maxillofac implants. 2007;22:430–5.
17. Siewert B, Parra M. Eine neue Werkstoffklasse in der Zahnmedizin: PEEK als Gerüstmaterial bei 12-gliedrigen implantatgetragenen Brücken. Z zahnärztl implant. 29:148–59.
18. Callís EM. Fundamentos de la estética bucal en el grupo anterior. Quintessence; 2001: 401.
19. Steger E. Sistema CAD/CAM Zirkonzahn. Quintessenza odontotec. 2013;10:70–82.
20. Berglundh T, Persson L, Klinge B. A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry reported in prospective longitudinal studies of at least 5 years. J clin periodontol. 2002; 29 Suppl 3:197-212-233.
21. Pan YS, Wang J, Pan CL. Research on biological properties of PEEK based composites. Applied Mechanics and Materials. Trans Tech Publications 2013; 325.
22. Duyck J, Vandamme K. The effect of loading on peri-implant bone: a critical review of the literature. J oral rehabil. 2014;41(10):783–94.
23. Frost HM. Perspectives: bone's mechanical usage windows. Bone miner. 1992;19:257–71.
24. Huiskes R, Weinans H, van Rietbergen B. The relationship between stress shielding and bone resorption around total hip stems and the effects of flexible materials. Clin orthop. 1992;274:124–34.
25. Ponnappan RK, Serhan H, Zarda B, Patel R, Albert T, Vaccaro AR. Biomechanical evaluation and comparison of polyetheretherketone rod system to traditional titanium rod fixation. Spine j off j north am spine soc. 2009;9:263–7.
26. Schwitalla AD, Spintig T, Kallage I, Müller W-D. Flexural behavior of PEEK materials for dental application. Dent mater off publ acad dent mater. 2015;31:1377–84.
27. Schwitalla AD, Abou-Emara M, Spintig T, Lackmann J, Müller WD. Finite element analysis of the biomechanical effects of PEEK dental implants on the peri-implant bone. J biomech. 2015;48:1–7.
28. Rosentritt M, Preis V, Behr M, Sereno N, Kolbeck C. Shear bond strength between veneering composite and PEEK after different surface modifications. Clin oral investig. 2015;19:739–44.