

Ausgabe: KN 3-2019, S. 6f.
Thema: Neues SL-Keramikbracket im Praxistest
Autoren: Dr. Dirk Kujat

Literatur

1

Maijer R, Smith DC. Time savings with self-ligating brackets. J Clin Orthod. 1990 Jan;24(1): 29-31.

2

Mezomo M, de Lima ES, de Menezes LM, Weissheimer A, Allgayer S. Maxillary canine retraction with self-ligating and conventional brackets. Angle Orthod. 2011 Mar;81(2): 292-7.

3

Sridharan K, Sandbhor S, Rajasekaran UB, Sam G, Ramees MM, Abraham EA. An in vitro Evaluation of Friction Characteristics of Conventional Stainless Steel and Self-ligating Stainless Steel Brackets with different Dimensions of Archwires in Various Bracket-archwire Combination. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2017 Aug 1;18(8): 660-664.

4

Thorstenson GA, Kusy RP. Resistance to sliding of self-ligating brackets versus conventional stainless steel twin brackets with second-order angulation in the dry and wet (saliva) states. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2001 Oct;120(4): 361-70.

5

Schulze MC. Friktionsverhalten verschiedener selbstligierender und konventioneller Bracketsysteme unter Einwirkung von Torque und Angulation. Dissertation zur Erlangung des Grades eines Doktors der Zahnmedizin der Medizinischen Fakultät der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 2013.

6

Harradine NW. Self-ligating brackets: where are we now? J Orthod. 2003 Sep;30(3):262-73