

LITERATUR

Ausgabe: Oralchirurgie Journal 1/20

Thema: Gesinterte und ungesinterte xenogene KEM im Vergleich
Osteokonduktion auf Basis von 2D- und 3D-Daten

Autoren: Eleni Kapogianni, M.Sc., Dr. med. Ole Jung, MD, Dr. Mike Barbeck

1. Browaeys H, Bouvry P, De Bruyn H. A literature review on biomaterials in sinus augmentation procedures. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2007;9(3):166-77.

2. Terheyden H, Jepsen S, Moller B, Rueger D. [Sinus floor augmentation with simultaneous implant insertion using recombinant human osteogenic protein-1]. Laryngorhinootologie. 2001;80(1):47-51.

3. Rolvien T, Barbeck M, Wenisch S, Amling M, Krause M. Cellular Mechanisms Responsible for Success and Failure of Bone Substitute Materials. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(10).

4. Peric Kacarevic Z, Kavehei F, Houshmand A, Franke J, Smeets R, Rimashevskiy D, et al. Purification processes of xenogeneic bone substitutes and their impact on tissue reactions and regeneration. Int J Artif Organs. 2018:391398818771530.

5. Seidel P, Dingeldein E. Cerabone® – eine Spongiosa-Keramik bovinen Ursprungs. Materialwissenschaft und Werkstofftechnik. 2004;35(4):208-12.

6. Kim Y, Nowzari H, Rich SK. Risk of prion disease transmission through bovine-derived bone substitutes: a systematic review. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2013;15(5):645-53.

7. Wenz B, Oesch B, Horst M. Analysis of the risk of transmitting bovine spongiform encephalopathy through bone grafts derived from bovine bone. Biomaterials. 2001;22(12):1599-606.

8. Trajkovski B, Jaunich M, Muller WD, Beuer F, Zafiropoulos GG, Houshmand A. Hydrophilicity, Viscoelastic, and Physicochemical Properties Variations in Dental Bone Grafting Substitutes. Materials (Basel). 2018;11(2).

9. Kapogianni E, Barbeck M, Jung O, Arslan A, Kuhnel L, Xiong X, et al. Comparison of Material-mediated Bone Regeneration Capacities of Sintered and Non-sintered Xenogeneic Bone Substitutes via 2D and 3D Data. In Vivo. 2019;33(6):2169-79.