

Literature

Fabrication of a screw-retained implant-supported maxillary restoration A completely digital workflow

Prof. Sven Rinke, Holger Ziebolz, Germany

implants – international magazine of oral implantology 2/22

1. Messias A, Nicolau P, Guerra F (2021) Different Interventions for Rehabilitation of the Edentulous Maxilla with Implant-Supported Prostheses: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. *Int J Prosthodont* 34:s63-s84. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.7162>
2. Vazouras K, Taylor T (2021) Full-Arch Removable vs Fixed Implant Restorations: A Literature Review of Factors to Consider Regarding Treatment Choice and Decision-Making in Elderly Patients. *Int J Prosthodont* 34:s93-s101. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.7016>
3. Ali K, Kay EJ (2019) What are the long-term survival and complication rates of complete-arch fixed implant rehabilitation in edentulous patients? *Evid Based Dent* 20:97–98. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41432-019-0052-3>
4. McGlumphy EA, Hashemzadeh S, Yilmaz B et al. (2019) Treatment of edentulous mandible with metal-resin fixed complete dentures: A 15- to 20-year retrospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 30:817–825. <https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13488>
5. Gaddale R, Mishra SK, Chowdhary R (2020) Complications of screw- and cement-retained implant-supported full-arch restorations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Implantol (Berl)* 13:11–40
6. Gonzalez-Gonzalez I, deLlanos-Lanchares H, Brizuela-Velasco A et al. (2020) Complications of Fixed Full-Arch Implant-Supported Metal-Ceramic Prostheses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124250>
7. Pan Y, Tsoi JKH, Lam WYH et al. (2021) Implant framework misfit: A systematic review on assessment methods and clinical complications. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 23:244–258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cid.12968>
8. Montero J (2021) A Review of the Major Prosthetic Factors Influencing the Prognosis of Implant Prosthodontics. *J Clin Med* 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10040816>
9. Papaspyridakos P, Vazouras K, Chen Y-W et al. (2020) Digital vs Conventional Implant Impressions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Prosthodont* 29:660–678. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopr.13211>
10. Siqueira R, Galli M, Chen Z et al. (2021) Intraoral scanning reduces procedure time and improves patient comfort in fixed prosthodontics and implant dentistry: a

systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig* 25:6517–6531. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-04157-3>

11. García-Gil I, Cortés-Bretón-Brinkmann J, Jiménez-García J et al. (2020) Precision and practical usefulness of intraoral scanners in implant dentistry: A systematic literature review. *J Clin Exp Dent* 12:e784-e793. <https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.57025>
12. Albanchez-González MI, Brinkmann JC-B, Peláez-Rico J et al. (2022) Accuracy of Digital Dental Implants Impression Taking with Intraoral Scanners Compared with Conventional Impression Techniques: A Systematic Review of In Vitro Studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19042026>
13. Sanda M, Miyoshi K, Baba K (2021) Trueness and precision of digital implant impressions by intraoral scanners: a literature review. *Int J Implant Dent* 7:97. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40729-021-00352-9>
14. Carneiro Pereira AL, Medeiros VR, da Fonte Porto Carreiro A (2021) Influence of implant position on the accuracy of intraoral scanning in fully edentulous arches: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent* 126:749–755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2020.09.008>
15. Carneiro Pereira AL, Souza Curinga MR, Melo Segundo HV et al. (2021) Factors that influence the accuracy of intraoral scanning of total edentulous arches rehabilitated with multiple implants: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2021.09.001>
16. Mizumoto RM, Yilmaz B, McGlumphy EA et al. (2020) Accuracy of different digital scanning techniques and scan bodies for complete-arch implant-supported prostheses. *J Prosthet Dent* 123:96–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2019.01.003>
17. Kachhara S, Nallaswamy D, Ganapathy DM et al. (2020) Assessment of intraoral scanning technology for multiple implant impressions - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 20:141–152. https://doi.org/10.4103/jips.jips_379_19
18. Wulfman C, Naveau A, Rignon-Bret C (2020) Digital scanning for complete-arch implant-supported restorations: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent* 124:161–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2019.06.014>
19. Kihara H, Hatakeyama W, Komine F et al. (2020) Accuracy and practicality of intraoral scanner in dentistry: A literature review. *J Prosthodont Res* 64:109–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpor.2019.07.010>
20. Giachetti L, Sarti C, Cinelli F et al. (2020) Accuracy of Digital Impressions in Fixed Prosthodontics: A Systematic Review of Clinical Studies. *Int J Prosthodont* 33:192–201. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.6468>

21. Paratelli A, Vania S, Gómez-Polo C et al. (2021) Techniques to improve the accuracy of complete-arch implant intraoral digital scans: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2021.08.018>
22. El-Haddad H, Judge RB, Abduo J et al. (2020) Laboratory Evaluation of Novel Implant Metal-Acrylic Prosthesis Design: Influence of Monolithic Acrylic Veneer. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 35:100–106. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.7545>
23. Delucchi F, Giovanni E de, Pesce P et al. (2021) Framework Materials for Full-Arch Implant-Supported Rehabilitations: A Systematic Review of Clinical Studies. *Materials (Basel)* 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14123251>
24. Barootchi S, Askar H, Ravidà A et al. (2020) Long-term Clinical Outcomes and Cost-Effectiveness of Full-Arch Implant-Supported Zirconia-Based and Metal-Acrylic Fixed Dental Prostheses: A Retrospective Analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 35:395–405. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.7833>