

Ausgabe: Dental Tribune German Edition 7/2025

Titel: Vorteile von Keramikimplantaten als Alternative zu Titan

Autorin: Dr. Elisabeth Jacobi-Gresser

Literatur

1. Romanos GE, Fischer GA, Rahman ZT, Delgado-Ruiz R. Spectrometric analysis of the wear from metallic and ceramic dental implants following insertion: an in vitro study. *Materials (Basel)*. 2022;15(3):1200. doi:10.3390/ma15031200
2. Bethke A, Pieralli S, Kohal RJ, et al. Fracture Resistance of Zirconia Oral Implants In Vitro: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Materials (Basel)*. 2020;13(3):562. doi:10.3390/ma13030562
3. Freitag L, Spinell T, Kroger A, et al. Dental implant material-related changes in molecular signatures in peri-implantitis – a systematic review and integrative analysis of omics in-vitro studies. *Dent Mater*. 2023;39 (Suppl 1):101-113. doi:10.1016/j.dental. 2022.11.022
4. Paparella ML, Domingo MG, Puia SA, Jacobi-Gresser E, Olmedo DG. Titanium dental implant-related pathologies: A retrospective histopathological study. *Oral Dis*. 2021;27(5):1212–1221. doi:10.1111/odi.13794
5. Noronha Oliveira M, Schunemann WVH, Mathew MT, et al. Can degradation products released from dental implants affect peri-implant tissues? *J Periodontal Res*. 2018;53(1):1–11. doi:10.1111/jre.12479
6. Pettersson M, Pettersson J, Johansson A. Titanium ions form particles that activate and execute interleukin-1 β release from lipopolysaccharide-primed macrophages. *J Periodont Res*. 2017;52(1):21–32. doi:10.1111/jre.12361
7. Porporati AA, Mödinger Y, Fischer S, et al. Zirconia-toughened alumina ceramic wear particles do not elicit inflammatory responses in human macrophages. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2023;24(7):6482. doi:10.3390/ijms24076482
8. Elangovan G, Mello-Neto JM, Tadakamadla SK, et al. A systematic review on neutrophils interactions with titanium and zirconia surfaces: Evidence from in vitro studies. *Clin Exp Dent Res*. 2022;8(4):950-958. doi:10.1002/cre2.582
9. Müller-Heupt LK, Schiegnitz E, Kaya S, et al. The German S3 guideline on titanium hypersensitivity in implant dentistry: consensus statements and recommendations. *Int J Implant Dent*. 2022; 8:51. doi:10.1186/s40729-022-00440-y

10. Safaei M, Mohammadi H, Beddu S, et al. Surface topography steer soft tissue response and antibacterial function at the transmucosal region of titanium implant. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2024; May 1. doi:10.2147/IJN.S461549
11. Bienz SP, Hilbe M, Hüsler J, et al. Clinical and histological comparison of the soft tissue morphology between zirconia and titanium dental implants. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2021;48(6):758-768. doi:10.1111/jcpe.13411
12. Insua A, Galindo-Moreno P, Miron RJ, Wang HL, Monje A. Emerging factors affecting peri-implant bone metabolism. *Periodontol 2000*. 2024;94(1):27-78. doi:10.1111/prd.12532
13. Borges H, Correia ARM, Castilho RM, et al. Zirconia Implants and Marginal Bone Loss: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2020;35(4):707–720. doi:10.11607/jomi.8097
14. Mohseni P, Soufi A, Chrcanovic BR. Clinical outcomes of zirconia implants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2023;28(1):15. doi:10.1007/s00784-023-05401-8
15. Bollen CML, Brás VM, et al. S3 Guidelines on ceramic dental implants and titanium hypersensitivity: statements and recommendations for the worldwide implant dentistry community. *Bull Stomatol Maxillofac Surg*. 2023;19(1):75-83. doi:10.58240/1829006X-2023.19.1-75
16. Comisso I, Arias-Herrera S, Gupta S. Zirconium dioxide implants as an alternative to titanium: A systematic review. *J Clin Exp Dent*. 2021;13(5):e511-e519. doi:10.4317/jced.58063