

**Issue:** BDIZ EDI Journal 1/2026

**Title:** Digital workflow for immediate maxillary full-arch implant reconstruction

**Author:** Dr. Giuliano Fragola, Spain

---

### Literature

1. Malo P, de Araújo Nobre M, Lopes A, Ferro A, Gravito I. All-on-4® treatment concept for the rehabilitation of the completely edentulous mandible: A 7-year clinical and 5-year radiographic retrospective case series with risk assessment for implant failure and marginal bone level. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2015;17 Supplement 2:531-41.
2. Auduc R, Vandenberghe B, Jacobs R, Colomina LE, Torres S. Fully digital workflow in full-arch implant rehabilitation: A descriptive methodological review. *Prosthesis*. 2025;7(1):1-20.
3. Papaspyridakos P, Chen YW, Gonzalez-Gusmao I, Att W. Complete digital workflow in prosthesis prototype fabrication for complete-arch implant rehabilitation: A technique. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2019;122(2):189-192.
4. Flügge TV, Derksen W, Te Poel J, Hassan B, Nelson K, Wismeijer D. Registration of cone beam computed tomography data and intraoral surface scans – a prerequisite for guided implant surgery with CAD/CAM drilling guides. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017;28(9):1113-1118.
5. Tahmaseb A, Wu V, Wismeijer D, Coucke W, Evans C. The accuracy of static computer-aided implant surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2018;29 Suppl 16:416-435.
6. Amin SA, Hann S, Elsheikh A, Boltchi F, Zandinejad A. A complete digital approach for facially generated full arch diagnostic wax-up, guided surgery, and implant-supported interim prosthesis by integrating 3D facial scanning, intraoral scan, and CBCT. *J Prosthodont*. 2023;32(2):90-93.
7. Costa AJM, Teixeira Neto A, Burgoa S, Gutierrez V, Cortes ARG. Fully digital workflow with magnetically connected guides for full-arch implant rehabilitation following guided alveolar ridge reduction. *J Prosthodont*. 2020;29(3):272-276.
8. Yang JW, Liu Q, Yue ZG, Hou JX, Afrashtehfar KI. Digital workflow for full-arch immediate implant placement using a stackable surgical guide fabricated using SLM technology. *J Prosthodont*. 2021;30(7):645-650.
9. García-Sala Bonmatí F, Pérez-Barquero JA, Ilzarbe Ripoll LM, Labaig Rueda C, Fernández-Estevan L, Revilla-León M. An additively manufactured, magnetically retained, and stackable implant surgical guide: A dental technique. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2023;130(4):444-452.
10. Ferguson R. Simplifying full-arch treatment with in-house 3D-printed surgical guides and immediate fixed provisional prostheses using only CBCT data. *Compend Contin Educ Dent*. 2020;41(9):521-526.
11. Chochlidakis KM, Papaspyridakos P, Tsigarida A, Romeo D, Chen YW, Natto ZS, Ercoli C. Digital versus conventional full-arch implant impressions: A prospective study on 16 edentulous maxillae. *J Prosthodont*. 2020;29(4):281-286.
12. Papaspyridakos P, Vazouras K, Chen YW, Kotina E, Natto ZS, Kang K, Chochlidakis KM. Digital vs conventional implant impressions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Prosthodont*. 2020;29(7):660-678.

13. Papaspyridakos P, Chochlidakis K, Kang K, Chen YW, Alghfeli A, Kudara Y, Weber HP.  
Digital workflow for implant rehabilitation with double full-arch monolithic zirconia prostheses.  
*J Prosthodont.* 2020;29(5):460-465.
14. Papaspyridakos P, De Souza A, Bathija A, Kang K, Chochlidakis KM.  
Complete digital workflow for mandibular full-arch implant rehabilitation in three appointments.  
*J Prosthodont.* 2021;30(6):548-552.
15. Papaspyridakos P, Kang K, Chochlidakis KM, et al.  
Zirconia full-arch implant prostheses: Survival, complications, and risk factors – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2022;33(9):928-945.