

---

# Robotic Facilitation of Ceramic Implants in Compromised Alveolar Ridges:

## Digital Restorative Workflow and One-Year Follow-Up

---

Author(-s): Shepard DeLong, DMD

1. DeLong S. Robotic facilitation of ceramic implants in compromised alveolar ridges. *Ceramic Implants*. 2024;1:12-15.
2. Fields T. Z-Systems' esthetic zirconia implants standing the test of time. *Compendium*. 2017;38(8):574.
3. Lin Y, et al. Comparison of implant positional accuracy among freehand, static surgical guide, dynamic navigation, and robotic guidance: a randomized controlled trial in vivo. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2022;37(6):1179-1187.
4. Neugarten JM. Accuracy and Precision of Haptic Robotic-Guided Implant Surgery in a Large Consecutive Series. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2024 Feb 27;39(1):99-106. doi: 10.11607/jomi.10468. PMID: 37939239.
5. Paspapiridakos P, et al. Digital versus conventional full-arch implant impressions: a prospective study on 20 participants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2015;30(4):895-903.
6. Ender A, Zimmermann M, Attin T, Mehl A. In vivo precision of scans with digital intraoral scanners and comparison with the conventional technique. *Int J Comput Dent*. 2016;19(3):223-231.
7. Aldhuwayhi S. Zirconia in Dental Implantology: A Review of the Literature with Recent Updates. *Bioengineering (Basel)*. 2025 May 19;12(5):543. doi: 10.3390/bioengineering12050543. PMID: 40428162; PMCID: PMC12109504.
8. Brunello G, Rauch N, Becker K, Hakimi AR, Schwarz F, Becker J. Two-piece zirconia implants in the posterior mandible and maxilla: A cohort study with a follow-up period of 9 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2022 Dec;33(12):1233-1244.