

Literaturliste

Füllungstherapie für die Patienten der Zukunft

Zora Hocke/Bad Homburg

DENTALZEITUNG 6/2014

1. Mickenautsch S, Yengopal V (2012). Failure rate of high-viscosity GIC based ART compared to that of conventional amalgam restorations - evidence from a systematic review update. *S Afr Dent J* 2012; 67: 329-31.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23951787>
2. Mickenautsch S, Yengopal V, Banerjee A (2010). Atraumatic restorative treatment versus amalgam restoration longevity: a systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig* 2010 Jun;14(3):233-40.
3. Diem VT, Tyas MJ, Ngo HC, Phuong LH, Khanh ND (2013). The effect of a nano-filled resin coating on the 3-year clinical performance of a conventional high-viscosity glass-ionomer cement. *Clinical Oral Investigations* DOI 10.1007/s00784-013-1026-z
4. Klinker T, Daboul A, Biffar R (2013). EQUIA - RCT in the field: Longevity after 24 months. CED IADR Florenz, 2013, Abstract 3. Abruf am 23.08.2014 unter:
<https://iadr.confex.com/iadr/ced13/webprogram/Paper179792.html>
5. Gurgan S, Kutuk ZB, Firat E, Cakir FY, Oztas SS (2014). 60-Month Clinical Performance Of A Glass-Ionomer Restorative System, IADR General Session, Cape Town, June 25th 2014, Abstract of Oral Session. Abruf am 23.08.2014 unter: <https://iadr.confex.com/iadr/14iags/webprogram/Paper190266.html>
6. Basso M, Nowakowska JK, del Fabbro M (2011). Long-term dental restorations using high-viscosity coated glassionomer cements, IADR San Diego, Abstract 2494. Abruf am 23.08.2014 unter:
<https://iadr.confex.com/iadr/2011sandiego/webprogram/Paper146300.html>
7. United Nations Environment Programme (2013). Intergovernmental negotiating committee to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury. Fifth session, Geneva, 13–18 January 2013. Abruf am 23.08.2014 unter:
http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/INC5/INC5_7asterix_final%20report_26%2008_e.pdf