

LITERATUR

Setzt sich die One-File-Endo durch? Aufbereitungssystem Mtwo® versus Reciproc®

Kristina Dzeko Varga

Jahrbuch Endodontie 2016

- 1.) Martín B, Zelada G, Varela P, Bahillo JG, Magán F, Ahn S, Rodríguez C. Factors influencing the fracture of nickel-titanium rotary instruments. *Int Endod J* 2003 Apr; 36(4):262-266.
- 2.) Gambarini G. Advantages and disadvantages of new torque-controlled endodontic motors and low-torque NiTi rotary instrumentation. *Aust Endod J* 2001 Dez; 27(3):99-104.
- 3.) Lopes HP, Elias CN, Mangelli M, Lopes WS, Amaral G, Souza LC, Siqueira JF Jr. Buckling resistance of pathfinding endodontic instruments. *J Endod.* 2012b; 38: 402-4
- 4.) Peters OA, Schönenberger K, Laib A. Effects of four Ni-Ti preparation techniques on root canal geometry assessed by micro computed tomography. *Int Endod J* 2001 Apr; 34(3):221-230.
- 5.) Bürklein S, Hinschitzka K, Dammaschke T, Schäfer E. Shaping ability cleaning effectiveness of two single-file systems in severely curved root canals of extracted teeth: Reciproc WaveOne versus Mtwo ProTaper. *Int Endod J* 2012, 45: 449–461.
- 6.) De-Deus G, Arruda TEP, Souza EM, Neves A, Magalhães K, Thuanne E, Fidel RAS. The ability of the Reciproc R25 instrument to reach the full root canal working length without a glide path. *Int Endod J* 2013, 46: 993–998.
- 7.) You SY, Kim HC, Bae KS, Baek SH, Kum KY, Lee W. Shaping ability of reciprocating motion in curved root canals: a comparative study with microcomputed tomography. *J Endod* 2011; 37: 1296–1300.
- 8.) Yared GM. Behaviour of Hero NiTi instruments used by an experienced operator under access limitations. *Aust Endod J* 2002; 28: 64–67.