

Ausgabe: KN 9-2016, S. 1ff.

Thema: Herbst – was haben wir gelernt?

Autoren: Bernardo Q. Souki¹, DDS, MSD, PhD; Paula L. Cheib¹, DDS, MSD; Antonio Carlos O. Ruellas², DDS, MSD, PhD; Lorenzo Franchi³, DDS, PhD; James McNamara Jr.⁴, DDS, PhD und Lucia H.S. Cevidanes⁴, DDS, MsD, PhD

Literatur

- 1) Cevidanes LHS, Styner MA, Proffit WR (2006). Image analysis and superimposition of 3-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography models. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2006;129:611–8
- 2) de Almeida MR, Henriques JFC, de Almeida RR, Weber U, McNamara, J. A. Short-term treatment effects produced by the Herbst appliance in the mixed dentition. *Angle Orthod* 2005;75:540–7
- 3) Ghafari J, Shofer FS, Jacobsson-Hunt U, Markowitz DL, Laster LL Headgear versus function regulator in the early treatment of Class II, division 1 malocclusion: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1998;113:51–61
- 4) Keeling SD et al. Anteroposterior skeletal and dental changes after early Class II treatment with bionators and headgear. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1998;113:40–50
- 5) O'Brien K et al. Effectiveness of early orthodontic treatment with the Twin-block appliance: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Part 1: Dental and skeletal effects. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2003;124:234–43
- 6) Pancherz, H. The mechanism of Class II correction in Herbst appliance treatment. A cephalometric investigation. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1982;82:104–13.
- 7) Pancherz H, Ruf S, Kohlhas P. “Effective condylar growth” and chin position changes in Herbst treatment: a cephalometric roentgenographic long-term study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1998;114:437–46
- 8) Perinetti G, Primožič J, Franchi L, Contardo L. Treatment Effects of Removable Functional Appliances in Pre-Pubertal and Pubertal Class II Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Studies. *PloS One* 2015;10:e0141198
- 9) Perinetti G, Primožič J, Furlani G, Franchi L, Contardo L. Treatment effects of fixed functional appliances alone or in combination with multibracket appliances: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Angle Orthod* 2015 85:480–92
- 10) Ruf S, Pancherz H. Temporomandibular joint growth adaptation in Herbst treatment: a prospective magnetic resonance imaging and cephalometric roentgenographic study. *Eur J Orthod* 1998;20: 375–88
- 11) Silva JFE, Gerszewski C, Moresca RC, Correr GM, Flores-Mir C, Moro A. Retrospective study of clinical complications during orthodontic treatment with either a removable mandibular acrylic splint Herbst or with a cantilever Herbst. *Angle Orthod* 2015;85:64–71
- 12) Thiruvengkatachari B, Harrison JE, Worthington HV, O'Brien KD. Orthodontic treatment for prominent upper front teeth (Class II malocclusion) in children. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013;11, CD003452
- 13) Tulloch JFC, Proffit WR, Phillips C. Outcomes in a 2-phase randomized clinical trial of early Class II treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2004;125:657–67
- 14) von Bremen J, Pancherz H, Ruf S. Reduced mandibular cast splints an alternative in Herbst therapy? A prospective multicentre study. *Eur J Orthod* 2007;29:609–13

